

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/529,613	Applicant(s) KUDARAVALLI ET AL.	
	Examiner Steven C. Pohnert	Art Unit 1634	

All Participants:

(1) Steven C. Pohnert.

(2) John Prince.

Date of Interview: 1 May 2008

Status of Application: pending

(3) ____.

(4) ____.

Time: 2:30

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

none

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner contacted applicant's representative to confirm there had been a typographical error and claim 16 properly depends from claim 6. Mr. Prince confirmed that claim 16 properly depends from claim 6.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)